

Appendix 1 – INW Thematic feedback

Brief summary of issues from Inner North West masterplan consultation
<i>General</i>
Acknowledgement of how thriving the area was in the past and what it has become
Area must be sensitively developed and requires greater engagement with existing communities within the wider development
Background analysis should be included in final document
Council should lead development; need more ambition in terms of design and vision
Masterplan boundary needs clarification
Large white blocks on illustrative masterplan are confusing
<i>Vision</i>
Broad support for vision
UU consider that vision complements new campus and benefits the city however several other organisations/individuals raised issue of over emphasis on university which is outside the boundary.
Welcome development of 'mixed' neighbourhood
<i>Heritage</i>
Support for protection of heritage and character of the area
Support for protection of listed and non-listed buildings
Map of the conservation area should be included
Concerns that some noteworthy buildings appear to be redeveloped
Support for re-use of existing buildings
<i>Housing</i>
Support for city centre living
Support for mixed-tenure housing
Support for family housing
Future developments need infrastructure, services and facilities available (within walking distance) to cater for a range of demographics
Increases in density should be sensitively managed
Conflicting views on social and affordable housing – some view that social is needed instead of affordable, other view that affordable is required instead of social
Clarity required on who is going to live in city centre
Challenge providing housing that is needed
Support for reuse of vacant upper floors of retail units
Need to ensure housing isn't just for students; concern about proportion and balance
<i>Businesses</i>
Concerns regarding potential implications of masterplan on existing businesses in and around the study area in terms of area being redeveloped, rent increases, outpriced of the area
Mixed views on redevelopment of Smithfield - concerns regarding demolition of building and impact on existing businesses verses need to revamp existing market; recognition by some of need to re-configure Smithfield

Connectivity
Severance by road infrastructure is major issue, particularly for communities and areas adjacent to the masterplan boundary – creates physical and psychological divide
CastleCourt acknowledged as a major barrier - support for connection through CastleCourt
Support for re-opening Berry Street
Support for improved and segregated cycle facilities
Need linkages to other masterplans and proposals
Design
Support for 'active frontages'
Concerns regarding potential tall buildings; need sun path diagrams
Height limits should be specified rather than varied
Need to strike balance between respecting building heights and encouraging investment
Opposition to tall buildings at gateways
Future design needs to be accessible to all – disabled, children, families
Need to consider implications of increasing population and promotion of night time economy on storage and collection of recyclable material and general waste
Open space needs to be activated to avoid being associated with specific groups or communities or antisocial behaviour
Support for high quality public realm with tree planting and street furniture
Ensuring area is shared space and not "owned" by one community
Integration of shared space principles into developments
Development of design guidance for area
Transport and car parking
Support for reducing impact of surface level car parks
Support for sustainable transport and reducing dependency on private car
Recognition of need to balance provision for car with sustainable transport
Changes to road network require traffic modelling
Delivery
Further information needed on delivery including programme, targets, priorities and costings
Request for details of landownership, especially publically owned land and planning approvals to be included
Encourage delivery by alignment with other statutory organisations - co-ordinated and multi-agency approach needed
Leadership required from council
Support use of section 76 contributions to enhance public realm and for provide social and economic benefits